

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,000	11/24/2003	Robert Gruenwald	BOW1335-003C	3379
8698	7590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP			SHRIVER II, JAMES A	
495 METRO SUITE 210	PLACE SOUTH	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUBLIN, C	OH 43017		3618	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/721,000	GRUENWALD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		J. Allen Shriver	3618			
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply will Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. THS from the mailing date of this communication. If ply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statud by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on 241	November 2003.				
2a) This acti	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
- \	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Cla	aims					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-46 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) is/are withdra is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to is/are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Pape	rs					
9)□ The spec	ification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The draw	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	may not request that any objection to the					
	nent drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the E	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowle a) All b 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Ce ap	edgment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority document ertified copies of the priority document ertified copies of the priority document explication from the International Bureautached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents.	ion No ed in this National Stage			
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,000 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claim1-31 and 34-46, drawn to a hybrid electric vehicle, classified in class 180, subclass 65.3.

II. Claims 32-33, drawn to an electric vehicle power management system, classified in class 180, subclass 65.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions II and I are related to type different types of vehicle drive configurations. Invention I is specifically drawn to a hybrid vehicle that requires both an electric motor and conventional engine to supply power to the drive wheels. Whereas, Invention II is drawn to an electric vehicle which only requires the electric motor to supply power to the drive wheels.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3618

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Allen Shriver whose telephone number is (703) 308-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris P. Ellis can be reached on (703) 305-0168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,000

Art Unit: 3618

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Shriver

Examiner Art Unit 3618